

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/826,950	04/06/2001	Galo F. Acosta	2599-103-D2	8081		
6449 7	7590 07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			CROSS, L.	CROSS, LATOYA I		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1743			

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/826,950	ACOSTA ET AL.	. ,		
, 140,000, y , 140,000	Examiner	Art Unit	,		
	LaToya I. Cross	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	;		
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	to a on in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		• .		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	•		•		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M	MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	on fee under		
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	•		
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consections	sidered but does NOT p	lace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were n	ewly		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered and	an .		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>26-33 and 39-52</u>			*		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>26-33,40-42 and 44-48</u> .			•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>38,39,43 and 49-52</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b)  disapproved by t	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	•				
10. Other:		<del>-</del>	• =		
			: .		
[4]					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the receiving structures of Hewett '839 are not fixed since they are present on a movable bed. In response, the Examiner would like to point out that the instant claims do not state what the receiving structures are fixed to or how the receiving structures are fixed. Hewett teaches that both the pipette tip rack and receptacle tray are fixed onto the bed. Applicants also argue that Hewett does not teach that the substance transfer device being operated manually. As pointed out in the previous Office Action, such manual operation would have been obvious so as to allow the apparatus to continue to be operated in the event of mechanical failure. The Examiner considers Applicants' arguments to be unpersuasive and maintains the obviousness rejection.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner